

Appl. No. 10/786,784
Amdt. Dated January 13, 2006
Reply to Office action of November 16, 2005

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicant would like to thank the Examiner for the careful consideration given the present application. The application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office action, and amended as necessary to more clearly and particularly describe the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims in this application are 4, 5, 6, 8 and 9. Claims 5 and 6 stand allowed. Claims 2-4 were indicated as being allowable if written in independent form. Claims 2 and 3 correspond to claims 8 and 9, respectively, and claim 4 has been made dependent on claim 8.

In claims 4 and 8 the word "molded" has been deleted because it is believed possible to form the insert in ways other than by molding. It is submitted that this change should not affect the allowability of claims 4 and 8 because Little clearly does not disclose or contemplate a breast-accommodating insert whether molded or otherwise made. The fact that the term "molded" is not a critical limitation is shown by the allowance of claim 5 which does not have such a limitation.

In light of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in a condition for allowance and notice to that effect is hereby requested. If it is determined that the application is not in a condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to initiate a telephone interview with the undersigned attorney to expedite prosecution of the present application.

If there are any additional fees resulting from this communication, please charge same to our Deposit Account No. 16-0820, our Order No. 36085US1.

Respectfully submitted,
PEARNE & GORDON LLP

By: 
Lowell L. Heinke, Reg. No. 19471

1801 East 9th Street
Suite 1200
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3108
(216) 579-1700

Date: January 13, 2006

